IMPACT OF DEFOLIATION BY SPRUCE BUDWORM POPULATIONS ON RADIAL AND VOLUME GROWTH OF BALSAM FIR: A REVIEW OF PRESENT KNOWLEDGE

DAVID A.MACLEAN
RESEARCH SCIENTIST, MARITIMES FOREST RESEARCH CENTRE
CANADIAN FORESTRY SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT
P.O. BOX 4000, FREDERICTON, N.B., CANADA

ABSTRACT

Epidemic populations of the spruce budworm (Choristoneura fumiferana Clem.) have resulted in severe defoliation of host tree species over much of eastern North America. This paper reviews the effects of defoliation on radial and volume growth of balsam fir (Abies balsamea (L.) Mill.) trees and stands. Several studies since the 1920's have shown that growth increment is reduced about 50 to 75% by several years of severe defoliation. Recent studies of defoliation impact on balsam fir in eastern Canada and the United States have found that:

1) Reductions in volume increment of young fir trees were evident during the first year of defoliation, and severe defoliation for 2 years resulted in a 50% reduction in volume growth.

2) Expressed on a stand basis, stands which suffered light defoliation (< 20% of current foliage) for 5 years showed negligible growth loss, whereas severe defoliation (> 90% of current foliage) for 5 years resulted in a 60% annual growth loss.

3) Defoliation during a budworm outbreak in the 1950's resulted in greatly reduced diameter growth and virtually no height growth. After the collapse of the outbreak, diameter and height growth of surviving trees were similar or greater than that in unaffected stands. However, recovery on a stand basis was poor; only one plot out of 10 had regained its predefoliation volume 15 years after defoliation ceased.

Key words: Abies balsamea, defoliation, growth loss, spruce budworm.

INTRODUCTION

The spruce budworm (Choristoneura fumiferana Clem.) is a
forest insect which is economically very important in eastern
Canada and the United States. Periodically, this insect erupts
from its generally low population levels and causes widespread
defoliation of host trees over large areas. It passes through
six larval life stages before pupating into a moth; it is
during the last larval stage (sixth instar) that it does most
(87%) of its feeding and causes most defoliation (Miller 1977).
Budworm populations generally build up gradually over several
years, with the increased defoliation becoming noticable upon
casual viewing. This removal of a portion of the tree's
needles, the photosynthesis factory, results in decreased
growth or wood production, first at the top of the tree where
defoliation is most concentrated initially. Persistent severe
defoliation will kill trees after three to six years (MacLean
1980), and dead stands over extensive areas may result. A
generalized scheme of the timing of events during a hypotheti-
cal budworm outbreak is presented in Figure 1.

TIME SINCE "START" OF BUDWORM OUTBREAK (YEARS)

Figure 1. Relative levels of spruce budworm populations and
           impact in relation to time during a hypothetical
           outbreak.

The subject of this paper is the impact of defoliation on growth loss, both in terms of reduction of radial growth (or annual ring widths) of individual trees and reduction of volume growth of both trees and stands. It has been known for many years that defoliation results in reduced radial increment, but few data are available to quantify a functional relationship between varying degrees of defoliation and reduction of radial and volume growth. In this paper, I review the effects of defoliation on growth of the major host tree species, balsam fir (Abies balsamea (L.) Mill.), and discuss the results of three recent studies which have helped to better quantify impact. The limited data available on growth loss of the other host tree species, including white spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss), black spruce (Picea mariana (Mill.) B.S.P.) and red spruce (Picea rubens Sarg.), are also discussed.

REDUCTION OF RADIAL INCREMENT IN INDIVIDUAL TREES

Details of the methods and results of eight studies of the loss of radial increment resulting from defoliation by spruce budworm are summarized in Table 1A. Most of these studies have examined only balsam fir, and have found growth loss ranging from 30 to 75% of the predefoliation annual increment. Several of the earlier studies were mainly concerned with showing that defoliation caused a growth loss, and did not quantify the loss of radial increment.

Methods of determining growth loss in these studies have ranged from examination of increment cores or discs taken at breast height or stump height, to examination of a few discs taken from different heights on various trees, to complete stem analysis of discs from every internode of the sample trees. Duff and Nolan (1953) showed by complete stem analysis that there are species-specific patterns of radial growth of trees in relation to height, and demonstrated this in terms of three ring sequences of red pine (Pinus resinosa Ait.), which they called Type 1, 2, and 3 sequences. Mott, Nairn, and Cook (1957) found that the patterns in ring widths of balsam fir are similar to those found by Duff and Nolan (1953), and noted that the effects of defoliation on growth were evident in all three sequences. However, Type 1 (oblique) and Type 3 (vertical) ring sequences best showed the effects of defoliation on radial increment. Both Mott et al. (1957) and Williams (1967) have shown that the greatest reduction in radial increment resulting from defoliation is in the mid-crown, and the least is near the ground. Thus, the results of most of those studies (Table 1A) that were based only on radial increment in the lower bole do not reflect average tree conditions, and are of limited use in predicting loss of wood production on a stand basis. In addition, growth loss is ultimately a function of the severity of feeding in individual years and of the number of years of

Table 1. Summary of studies of loss of radial and volume increment of trees resulting from defoliation by spruce budworm populations

Reference	Methods	Tree species	Growth loss (%)	Time lag (yrs)[1]
A. Radial Increment Loss Data				
Craighead 1924	Sampled 3 discs per tree from 12-14 trees of each species. Growth loss calculated in relation to ring width of the first year preceding feeding.	Abies balsamea (L.) Mill. Picea rubens Sarg. Picea rubens	60-70[2,3] 50-60[2,3] 50-90[2,4]	0-1
Belyea 1952	Dendrometer measurements at breast height on 94 trees, for 6 years.	Abies balsamea	not quantified[5]	2
McLintock 1955	Increment cores at breast height from about 100 trees. Growth loss calculated by comparison with growth in the 5-year period preceding defoliation.	Abies balsamea	60-75	2-5
Mott, Nairn and Cook 1957	Complete stem analysis of 2 trees and partial stem analysis of 10 trees.	Abies balsamea	not quantified[5]	1-2
Blais 1958	Increment cores from 50 trees in each of 6 plots.	Abies balsamea	not quantified[5]	2-4

Table 1. Cont'd

Reference	Methods	Tree species	Growth loss (%)	Time lag (yrs)[1]
Blais 1964	Discs at stump height from 50 fir, 33 white spruce and 4 black spruce trees. Growth loss calculated by comparison with growth in the 10-year period preceding defoliation.	Abies balsamea Picea glauca (Moench) Voss Picea mariana (Mill.) B.S.P.	46 36 18	–
Williams 1967	Partial stem analysis (6 discs per tree) of 10 trees in each of 4 damage classes. Growth loss calculated by comparison with growth in the 10-year period preceding defoliation.	Abies grandis Dougl. (Lindl.) Picea engelmanii Parry	24–41 4–25	– –
Miller 1977	Discs at stump height.	Abies balsamea	27–53	–

B. Volume Increment Loss Data

Reference	Methods	Tree species	Growth loss (%)	Time lag (yrs)[1]
Batzer 1973	Stem analysis of 3 trees from each of 8 plots. Paired plot design with one plot of each pair protected from defoliation by spraying insecticide.	Abies balsamea	25 (second yr) 80 (sixth yr)	1
Baskerville and MacLean 1979	Complete stem analysis of a defoliated tree and an undamaged tree. Growth and mortality losses of 10 plots were quantified on a per-plot basis.	Abies balsamea	25 (second yr) 36 (sixth yr) 75 (tenth yr)	–

297

Table 1. Cont'd

Reference	Methods	Tree species	Growth loss (%)	Time lag (yrs)[1]
Piene (in press)	Complete stem analysis of 25 trees that had suffered differential defoliation over 2 yrs. Growth loss calculated by comparison with a linear projection of previous growth.	Abies balsamea	20 (first yr) 50 (second yr)	0

1 Time lag between defoliation and growth loss based on the original estimate of time of initiation of the budworm outbreak.
2 Range of values represents mean growth losses after 2-5 and 6-9 years of feeding, respectively.
3 Growth loss of trees that survived the outbreak.
4 Growth loss of trees that died during the outbreak.
5 Graphically-presented growth data demonstrated a defoliation-caused growth loss, but the decrease was not quantified in the paper.

feeding, or the sequence of severity of defoliation in succes-
sive years. However, most of these studies have not differen-
tiated among differing degrees of feeding or temporal sequences
of defoliation.

Only limited data exist on growth loss of host tree
species other than balsam fir. Reduction of radial increment
of red spruce was found to range from 50 to 60% of the predefo-
liation increment for trees that survived the outbreak
(Craighead 1924). Growth losses of white and black spruce were
36 and 18%, respectively (Blais 1964). Thus, the few data
available support the conventional idea that damage is less in
spruce, particularly black spruce, than in balsam fir.

A few points of interest have emerged from the studies of
radial increment loss. Both McLintock (1955) and Miller (1977)
noted that the loss in radial increment caused by defoliation
was less in slow-growing than in fast-growing trees. Craighead
(1924) found that growth loss of red spruce that survived a
budworm outbreak was less than that of trees which died during
the outbreak. Similarly, tree vigor (as expressed by increment
at breast height) at the time of defoliation has been found to
be related to incidence of tree mortality, with more rapid
growth rates accompanying lower mortality (Craighead 1925,
Morris 1946). However, under severe defoliation this relation-
ship broke down, presumably because persistent severe defoli-
ation can kill even vigorous trees. The feasibility of identi-
fying past budworm outbreaks as series of years of suppressed
growth in radial increment data has been demonstrated and dis-
cussed in detail by Blais (1962). The time lag between the
initial defoliation and the first growth loss observed as re-
duced radial increment has been found to range from 0 to 5
years, with most of the early studies citing 2 to 5 years
(Table 1A). However, results based on discs from the lower
bole are useless in this regard, since the earliest and most
substantial effects of defoliation on growth are in the upper
or mid-crown. More recent studies have shown that effects on
tree growth are evident during either the first or second year
of defoliation (Batzer 1973, Piene in press).

REDUCTION OF VOLUME INCREMENT IN INDIVIDUAL TREES

Considering growth loss in terms of volume of wood produc-
tion by individual trees overcomes certain limitations of the
studies of radial increment loss. Volume increment calcula-
tions take into account the natural variability of the internal
growth pattern (i.e., the differences in diameter growth at
different heights on the stem). Also, volume increment includ-
es effects of defoliation on height growth as well as radial

growth, and budworm-caused defoliation is known to drastically
affect height growth of balsam fir (Figure 2), and to result in
top-killing of many trees in a stand. Three studies exist on
loss of volume increment of balsam fir trees from defoliation
(Table 1B); these are discussed in more detail.

Figure 2. Development of height over time for two trees from a
           stand damaged by budworm and one tree from an undam-
           aged stand. The effect of defoliation from 1950 to
           1958 is shown (from Baskerville and MacLean 1979).

     For seven years during an outbreak and five years after,
Batzer (1973) studied defoliated spruce-fir stands and similar
stands protected from budworm attack by insecticides in
Minnesota, U.S.A. He presented graphically the considerable
loss of volume growth of individual trees resulting from defo-
liation, but the loss was not numerically quantified. Esti-
mates calculated (fig 2. Batzer 1973 p. 37) indicate that
volume growth of defoliated trees was about 25% less than in
protected (sprayed) trees in the second year of defoliation,
and the loss increased to about 80% after the sixth year of
defoliation.

     Baskerville and MacLean (1979) have considered budworm-
caused mortality and growth loss of balsam fir plots in north-
western New Brunswick, Canada, which were severely defoliated

300

in the mid-1950's, and also the 20-year post-outbreak recovery
of these plots, on a stand basis. Diameter increment of the
trees decreased under defoliation, and height increment
stopped; the combination of these two effects resulted in dras-
tically decreased volume increment in the defoliated trees.
Comparing a defoliated tree with a tree unaffected by defoli-
ation (Figure 3), the current volume increment was about 25%
less after 2 years of defoliation, 36% less after 6 years, and
75% less after 10 years.

Figure 3. Annual volume growth of a tree unaffected by defoli-
ation (broken line) and a tree suffering severe
defoliation from age 28 to 40 years (solid line).
(from Baskerville and MacLean 1979).

Although the results of Batzer (1973) and Baskerville and
MacLean (1979) have helped to quantify the loss of volume in-
crement of individual trees after several years of severe defo-
liation, neither of these studies recorded detailed estimates
of defoliation on individual trees and linked defoliation
directly to growth loss. However, a recent paper by Piene (in
press) describes the results of such a study on 25-year-old
balsam fir trees in Nova Scotia, Canada which received varying
amounts of defoliation over a 2-year period. Defoliation was
visually estimated on one branch per whorl for seven whorls in
the upper and mid-crown, and growth was measured by stem analy-
sis of discs from every internode. Defoliation during the
first year ranged from 60 to 100% of the current year's foli-
age, and during the second year from 20 to 100%. Growth loss
from defoliation was calculated by projecting the predefolia-
tion volume increment as a "potential" growth had there been no
defoliation; this was possible because the trees had undergone
a spacing treatment (to 2.4 x 2.4 m) five years prior to the

first budworm feeding, and had exhibited a linear increase in
volume increment over the 5-year predefoliation period. Piene
(in press) observed a loss in volume increment in the first
year of defoliation, averaging about 20% of the volume incre-
ment for trees that had lost 80 to 100% of current foliage.
Trees that lost a total of two age classes of needles (two
years of accumulated defoliation) had volume growth decreased
by about 50%. Piene also observed, as did several earlier
studies, that the decrease in radial increment caused by
defoliation was greater at mid-crown than at breast height.

LOSS OF VOLUME INCREMENT IN STANDS

        Practical management of a forest resource requires infor-
mation about the impact of defoliation on wood production on a
stand basis. To understand how defoliation affects volume on a
per hectare basis, it is first necessary to determine how indi-
vidual trees react to defoliation; then results should be cal-
culated as volume loss per hectare. Only two recent studies
are currently available to provide data on this aspect.
Kleinschmidt et al. (in press) have recently completed a study
of 20 fir-spruce plots in Maine, U.S.A. with different defoli-
ation histories. A 5-year defoliation history was estimated
for each plot by comparing weights of foliage in each age-class
of needles with the amounts produced by non-defoliated balsam
fir trees; tree growth was assessed by stem analysis. Results
of the study are summarized in Table 2, and show that stands
which suffered light defoliation (< 20% of current foliage) for
5 years showed negligible growth loss, whereas severe defolia-
tion (90 to 100% of current foliage) for 5 years resulted in a
60% annual growth loss, or a cumulative loss of 34% over the
5-year period. This cumulative growth loss was equivalent to
15 m³/ha of wood production lost to the stand during 5 years
of non-fatal defoliation. Other results from the study showed
that plots with a history of defoliation increasing from 20 to
90% of current foliage over 4 or 5 years suffered about a 40%
annual growth loss, and that preventing defoliation by spraying
with insecticide after several years of defoliation apparently
reduced the growth loss, but not by very much (Table 2).

        The study by Baskerville and MacLean (1979) also provided
data of volume losses on a stand basis. Whereas the stands
studied by Kleinschmidt et al. (in press) suffered non-fatal
defoliation, the fir plots examined by Baskerville and MacLean
(1979) were so severely defoliated in the mid-1950's that from
18 to 80% of the total volume per hectare of the ten plots was
killed. Recovery of these stands was studied over 20 years
following the collapse of the budworm outbreak. Within five
years of the cessation of defoliation, the crowns of surviving
trees appeared fully recovered, and diameter and height growth

302

Table 2. Reduction of volume increment in fir-spruce plots in Maine resulting from varying degrees of non-fatal defoliation (from Kleinschmidt, Baskerville and Solomon, in press)

5-year defoliation history (for fir)	Annual fir growth loss(%) after 5 yrs defoliation	Mean cumulative fir growth loss	
		%	m³/ha
A. Light (<20%)	No growth loss measurable.		
B. Increasing for 4 years (from roughly 20% to 90%)	40	12	4
C. Increasing for 5 years (from roughly 20% to 90%)	40	19	7.5
D. Severe for 5 years (continuous 90 to 100%)	60	34	15
E. Increasing for 4 years, sprayed in 5th year	55	30	17
F. Increasing for 3 years, sprayed in 4th year	30	18	13

were similar to that in unaffected stands. Diameter growth showed a classic response to the reduction in stand density (thinning) resulting from the budworm-caused mortality, and increased substantially beyond predefoliation levels; this was also noted by Williams (1967). However, the recovery in terms of volume per hectare was poor, because the increased diameter growth was on smaller trees (as a result of the growth reductions during the outbreak) and there were fewer trees per hectare. The decreased height growth (Figure 2) was particularly important because the defoliated trees were 3 to 4 m shorter than similar non-defoliated trees after the outbreak collapsed. Only one of the ten plots had regained its predefoliation volume 15 years after defoliation ceased (Baskerville and MacLean 1979). Projection of stand development to age 75 years further suggested that on average, the plots which suffered budworm-caused mortality and growth loss would have only slightly more than one-half the projected volume without defoliation.

DISCUSSION

Calculation of a "growth loss" resulting from defoliation, either as a percentage or as a volume of wood per tree or per

hectare, requires a measure of "potential" growth in the ab-
sence of defoliation. This has been considered several ways in
past studies. Studies of radial increment loss (Table 1A) cal-
culated the loss by comparing ring widths during the outbreak
period with ring widths in the predefoliation period, using data
from either 1, 5, or 10 years preceding defoliation. Use of
data just one year prior to defoliation is inadvisable because
of the variation in annual growth which results from climatic
differences. Two of the more recent impact studies (Table 1B)
calculated growth loss by comparing defoliated trees or plots
with trees or plots that had not suffered damage. Batzer
(1973) used a paired plot design and maintained undamaged plots
by spraying with insecticide, while Baskerville and MacLean
(1979) made comparisons with plots in the study area which suf-
fered only light defoliation. Piene (in press), and Klein-
schmidt et al. (in press) calculated growth loss by extrapola-
ting potential volume growth of individual trees from the
predefoliation growth performance. In Piene's study, extrapo-
lation was particularly easy because of the growth response of
the trees to a spacing treatment carried out five years previ-
ously. Of all these methods for determining potential
growth, the maintenance of sprayed "control" plots adjacent to
the defoliated plots is most desirable, as climate-caused
growth differences will thereby be accounted for.

Defoliation definitely results in decreased radial and vol-
ume growth of individual trees, and the loss of wood production
by forest stands. This is not surprising, because defoliation
removes a portion of the tree's photosynthesis apparatus, which
is responsible for primary production. Data currently exist to
roughly quantify the growth loss resulting from several years
of severe defoliation at about 50 to 75% for balsam fir, the
major host species. However, it must be emphasized that this
is just a rough estimate; few data exist on the magnitude of
the growth loss resulting from varying degrees of defoliation,
or from different temporal sequences of defoliation (e.g., how
does growth loss resulting from several years of moderate defo-
liation compare with growth loss resulting from defoliation in-
creasing from initially light to finally severe, over a number
of years?). Also, no data presently exist on effects of char-
acteristics such as age, stand density, site quality, species
composition, or previous stand history on the growth loss of
individual trees and stands. Similarly, more data are required
to quantify growth loss in host tree species other than balsam
fir.

Studies of growth loss resulting from defoliation should
consider loss of volume increment of both individual trees and
stands. Although useful information about the past history of
individual trees can be extracted from radial growth sequences
such as those of Duff and Nolan (1953), the use of volume in-
crement data accounts for the natural variability of the inter-
nal growth pattern of trees, and thus clarifies effects of ex-
ternal influences, such as defoliation, on tree growth. And
although the loss of growth by individual trees is definitely
of interest, the stand is the conventional unit of forest man-
agement, and more data are required on effects of defoliation
at this level.

LITERATURE CITED

Baskerville, G.L. and MacLean, D.A., 1979: Budworm-caused
        mortality and 20-year recovery in immature balsam fir
        stands. Marit. For. Res. Cent., Fredericton, N.B.
        Canada. Inf. Rep. M-X-102. 23 pp.
Batzer, H.O., 1973: Net effect of spruce budworm defoli-
        ation on mortality and growth of balsam fir. J. For.
        71: 34-37.
Belyea, R.M., 1952: Death and deterioration of balsam fir
        weakened by spruce budworm defoliation in Ontario.
        Part II. An assessment of the role of associated
        insect species in the death of severely weakened
        trees. J. For. 50: 729-738.
Blais, J.R., 1958: Effects of defoliation by spruce budworm
        on radial growth at breast height of balsam fir and
        white spruce. For. Chron. 34: 39-47.
Blais, J.R., 1962: Collection and analysis of radial growth
        data from trees for evidence of past spruce budworm
        outbreaks. For. Chron. 38: 474-484.
Blais, J.R., 1964: Account of a recent spruce budworm
        outbreak in the Laurentide Park region of Quebec and
        measures for reducing damage in future outbreaks.
        For. Chron. 40: 313-323.
Craighead, F.C., 1924: Studies on the spruce budworm
        (Cacoecia fumiferana Clem.). Part II. General
        bionomics and possibilities of prevention and
        control. Can. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 37 (n.s.),
        Ottawa, Canada. pp. 28-91.
Craighead, F.C., 1925: Relation between mortality of trees
        attacked by the spruce budworm (Cacoecia fumiferana
        Clem.) and previous growth. J. Agric. Res. 30:
        541-555.
Duff, G.H. and Nolan, N.J., 1953: Growth and morphogenesis
        in the Canadian forest species. I. The controls of
        cambial and apical activity in Pinus resinosa Ait.
        Can. J. Bot. 31: 471-513.
Kleinschmidt, S.M., Baskerville, G.L. and Solomon, D.S., in
        press: Reduction of volume increment in fir-spruce
        stands due to defoliation by spruce budworm. Re-
        search Paper, Faculty of Forestry, University of New
        Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada.
MacLean, D.A., 1980: Vulnerability of fir-spruce stands
        during uncontrolled spruce budworm outbreaks: a
        review and discussion. For. Chron. 56: 213-221.
McLintock, T.F., 1955: How damage to balsam fir develops
        after a spruce budworm epidemic. U.S. For. Serv.,
        Northeast. For. Exp. Stn., Stn. Pap. 75. 18 pp.
Miller, C.A., 1977: The feeding impact of spruce budworm on
        balsam fir. Can. J. For. Res. 7: 76-84.
Morris, R.F., 1946: Green River Project. Can. For. Serv.,
        Forest Insect Invest. Bi-mon. Prog. Rep. 2(3):2.
Mott, D.G., Nairn, L.D. and Cook, J.A., 1957: Radial growth
        in forest trees and effects of insect defoliation.
        For. Sci. 3: 286-304.

Piene, H., in press: Effects of insect defoliation on growth and foliar nutrients of young balsam fir. For. Sci.

Williams, C.B. Jr., 1967: Spruce budworm damage symptoms related to radial growth of grand fir, Douglas-fir, and Engelmann spruce. For. Sci. 13: 274-285.